| | | | | 15 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 15 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 45 | 25 | 20 | 10 | 100 |
|------------|--|--|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------|---|------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|------------------------------|------------------------|------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| | | | | Stormwater Benefits | | Constructability | | Cost | | Ca | Co-Benefits | | | | | | | | | |
| Project ID | Project Type | Location | Area/Distance | Water Quantity / Flood Reduction | Sediment Reduction | Nutrient Reduction | Ownership | Known Constraints (utilities, depth to groundwater, site access, soils) | Permitting | Maintenance | Fundability | Energy and Air Quality Impacts | Habitat & Biodiversity | Community & Aesthetic Benefits | Educational Opportunities/ Visibility | Stormwater Benefits Total | Constructability Total | Cost Total | Co-Benefits Total | Project Ranking Total |
| 1 | Bioretention/Rain Garden | Main St. near lake outlet/DEC Boat Launch | 0.05 mi | 0 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 15 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 18 | 18 | 8 | 50 |
| 2 | Roadside ditch stabilization | Bailey Hill Rd | 0.14 mi | 5 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 18 | 13 | 4 | 45 |
| 3 | Retention area/ Streambank stabilization | Bailey Hill/Upstream of McDonald Property | 5 ac retention, 0.2 mi stabilization | 15 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 35 | 7 | 18 | 3 | 63 |
| 4 | Retention area/Streambank stabilization | McDonald Property | 0.18 mi | 10 | 15 | 15 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 40 | 7 | 18 | 3 | 68 |
| 5 | Streambank Stabilization | Rt 426, downstream of McDonald Property | 0.07 mi | 5 | 15 | 15 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 35 | 7 | 18 | 4 | 64 |
| 6 | Retention/Streambank Stabilization | Rt 426 | 0.13 mi | 10 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 25 | 9 | 13 | 3 | 50 |
| 7 | Bioretention/Rain Garden | Camp Findley | 0.3 ac | 5 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 15 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 20 | 15 | 18 | 8 | 61 |
| 8 | Streambank Stabilization | Walker Creek | 0.28 mi | 5 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 30 | 11 | 18 | 4 | 63 |
| 9 | Hydrodynamic Separator | Findley Lake Inlet | | 0 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 30 | 16 | 1 | 4 | 51 |
| 10 | Daylighting Stream/Bioretention | 906 Shadyside Rd | 0.5 ac | 5 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 15 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 25 | 13 | 18 | 7 | 63 |

Notes - Initiall construction/implementation cost not included in priority ranking. Intent is to develop projects with a varying range of costs.

Projects in BOLD represent the 2 projects selected for further evaluation as part of Engineering Study Report.

Stormwater Benefits:

Sediment & Nutrients:

Quantity:

 0 - negligible reduction in peak flow.
 5 - addresses lot level localized flooding or potenitally minimizes groundwater intrusion
 10 - addresses localized flooding (road/culvert overtopping) or GI practice that promotes infiltration or impervious reduction 1,000 - 25,000 sf 15 - provides stormwater attenuation or GI practice that promotes infiltration or impervious reduction>25,000 sf

1 - Hiigh \$ 10 - Grant assistance possible 15 - Grant assitance likely 3 - Medium \$ 5 - Low \$

Maintenance

Cost:

Co-Benefits modified from "The Value of Green Infrastructure: A Guide to Recognizing its Economic, Environmental, and Social Benefits," Center for Neighborhood Technology and American Rivers, 2010 and "Green Infrastructure Practices and Benefits", National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 2014"

Co-benefits on a scale from 0 (no benefit) to 2 (significant benefit) Energy and Air Quality Impacts includes: energy use reduction, air quality improvements and atmospheric C02 reduction Habitat and Biodiversity includes: increases biodiversity, increases habitat connectivity, and provides pollinator habitat Community and Aesthetic Benefits includes: improved aesthetics, increased recreational opportunities, and increased property values

Constructability:

Ownership 0 - uninterested private owner 5 - interested private owner or unknown interest level private owner 10 - public

Known Constraints

0 - negligibile benefit

5 - low water quality benefit

15 - high water quality benefit

10 - mediium water quality benefit

1 - Constraints identified 5 - Possible constraints identified 10 - No constraints identified

Permitting

1 - Multiple permits required (DEC, ACOE, Local ROW, etc.) and Project is located on Private Property 3 - Multiple permits required (DEC, ACOE, Local ROW, etc.) and Project is located on Public Property 5 - Low permitting demand anticipated

Fundability

5- not fundable through existing stormwater management and flooding prevention grants

| | | | 50 | 20 | 20 | 8 | 98 |
|------------|--|--|------------------------------|------------------------|------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Project ID | Project Type | Location | Stormwater Benefits Total | Constructability Total | Cost Total | Co-Benefits Total | Project Ranking Total |
| 4 | Retention area/Streambank stabilization | McDonald Property | 40 | 7 | 18 | 3 | 68 |
| 5 | Streambank Stabilization | Rt 423, downstream of McDonald Property | 35 | 7 | 18 | 4 | 64 |
| 3 | Retention area/ Streambank stabilization | Bailey Hill/Upstream of McDonald Property | 35 | 7 | 18 | 3 | 63 |
| 8 | Streambank Stabilization | Walker Creek | 30 | 11 | 18 | 4 | 63 |
| 10 | Daylighting Stream/Bioretention | 906 Shadyside Rd | 25 | 13 | 18 | 7 | 63 |
| 7 | Bioretention/Rain Garden | Camp Findley | 20 | 15 | 18 | 8 | 61 |
| 9 | Hydrodynamic Separator | Findley Lake Inlet | 30 | 16 | 1 | 4 | 51 |
| 1 | Bioretention/Rain Garden | Main St. near lake outlet/DEC Boat Launch | 6 | 18 | 18 | 8 | 50 |
| 6 | Retention/Streambank Stabilization | Rt 426/"Pond House" | 25 | 9 | 13 | 3 | 50 |
| 2 | Roadside ditch stabilization | Bailey Hill Rd & Shadyside Dr | 10 | 18 | 13 | 4 | 45 |

Notes - Initiall construction/implementation cost not included in priority ranking. Intent is to develop projects with a varying range of costs. Projects in BOLD represent the 2 projects selected for further evaluation as part of Engineering Study Report. Recommendations for Homeowner Floodproofing are also provided in the Report.

Stormwater Benefits:

Quantity:

0 - negligible reduction in peak flow. 10 - addresses tot level localized flooding or potenitally minimizes groundwater intrusion 20 - assists with off-setting potential drainage issues associated with future development 30 - addresses localized flooding (road/culvert overtopping) or GI practice that promotes infiltration or impervious reduction 1,000 - 100,000 sf 40 - creation of stormwater attenuation or impervious reduction over 100,000 sf

Co-Benefits modified from "The Value of Green Infrastructure: A Guide to Recognizing its Economic, Environmental, and Social Benefits," Center for Neighborhood Technology and American Rivers, 2010 and "Green Infrastructure Practices and Benefits", National Oceanic and Atmospheric

TSS & Nutrients:

Constructability:

Ownership 0 - uninterested private owner
5 - interested private owner or unknown interest level private owner
10 - public

> Known Constraints Constraints identified
> Possible constraints identified
> No constraints identified

0 - negligibile benefit 5 - water quality benefit